Notice dated 8th September 1921.

(1) Under Sections 64, 65, 66 and 67 of the Mysore Municipal Regulation, as also under notice dated 1st March 1921, issued from this office and published in the *Mysore Gazette*, dated 10th March 1921, Part I-A, pages 63 and 64, the public are hereby informed that all shops and buildings which had been assessed under the old Mohatarfa system of taxes, have been re-assessed on their present rental value and individual notices are issued in all cases where the assessment has been increased.

(2) The revised as well as old assessment lists will be available for the inspection of persons interested, at the City Municipal Office, during office hours, public holidays excepted. On receipt of objections in respect of valuation or assessment, not later than three days before the date fixed for the respective Divisions in the subjoined table, the Managing or other Committee to whom such powers may be delegated, will proceed to revise the valuation and assessment of such properties in the several Divisions of the City on the days and at the time noted in the said table.

No. of Division	Names of Division	Dates fixed	Time
1	Palace Division	19th October 1921	7-30 A.M.
2	Balepet do	20th and 21st October 1921	Do
3	Manavarthapet Division	24th and 25th do	Do
4	Ulsurpet Division	27th do	Do
6	Nagarthapet Division	28th do	Do
5	Lal-Bagh Division	1st November 1921	Do
7	Fort Division	2nd do	Do
8	Basavangudi Division	3rd do	Do
9	Malleswaram do	4th do	Do

B. K. GARUDACHAR,
President.

BANGALORE CITY IMPROVEMENT COMMITTEE.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BANGALORE CITY IMPROVEMENT COMMITTEE, AT THE MEETING HELD AT 8 A.M., IN THE CITY MUNICIPAL OFFICE, BANGALORE, ON SATURDAY THE 10TH SEPTEMBER 1921.

Present—6 | Absent—4

The Proceedings of the Committee at the meeting held on 13th August 1921 were read and confirmed.

1. Read letter No. 199, dated 30th July 1921, from the Chief Officer, City Municipal Council, requesting instructions as to whether the properties Nos. 1 to 17 to the north of Patel Yerrappa's fig garden on the Hosakota road, notified by the Government for acquisition, should be acquired.

Also Executive Engineer's letter No. 107, dated 29th August 1921, in reply thereto.

Resolution.—It is understood that it is proposed to locate certain Government factories about this locality. Proposals will be awaited. In the meantime the declaration will stand.

2. Read Memo No. 106, dated 29th August 1921, from the Executive Engineer, City Improvement Division, submitting for orders an application from one B. Subba Rao, received through the Chief Officer, requesting a license to rebuild his house, door No. 19, Venkatachaliah's lane, Seegebeli, since the building is situated in front of the New General Market.

Resolution No. 3 of the City Improvement Committee, dated 15th January 1921, dealing with the acquisition of certain properties in front of the Market.

Resolution.—This building and the vacant land to the west of it up to the new road should be acquired.

3. Read Memo No. 115, dated 3rd September 1921, from the Executive Engineer, City Improvement Division, suggesting that the gate proposed to be located in the bevelled western corner of the Market be located instead as in the accompanying, sketch opposite the Police road.

Resolution.—The Executive Engineer's proposal to place the gate opposite the Police road is approved.

4. Revised estimate for Rs. 995 against Rs. 413 originally sanctioned for draining the Mavalli Tank.

Resolution.—Sanctioned.

5. Detail estimate for Rs. 2,872 for forming a circle and constructing ornamental parapet at the junction of the Hosur road and the continuation of the Diagonal road of Visvesarapuram Extension against a lumpsum provision of Rs. 500 in the estimate.

Resolution.—Sanctioned with the observation that Mr. Krumbiegel be consulted before further steps are taken.

116

6. Municipal Advocate's Progress Report for the month of August 1921

Mr. Ramasawmy, Municipal Advocate, explained the stages at which the several cases stood. He was requested to expedite the disposal of the cases.

7. Memo No. 116, dated 7th September 1921, from the Executive Engineer, City Improvement Division, recommending the sale to Mr. A. Narasinga Rao, owner of site Nos. 420 and 421 in the Visvesvaraipuram Extension of a triangular piece of land 49·5 sq. yards in extent adjacent to his sites.

Resolution.—Approved, Mr. B. Usman Khan dissenting on the ground that local inspection was necessary.

8. Letter No. 17, dated 13th August 1921 from the Special Land Acquisition Officer to the Executive Engineer City Improvement Division, stating that owners of properties pray that only the area of their property actually required for the improvement of the road may be taken and remaining portion of the property, however irregular it may be, may be left to them.

Also plan and revised valuation statement prepared by the Executive Engineer, for additional land required on the north of the drain for the proposed main outfall sewer and keeping to the area of property actually required for the improvement, the net result being that both the area of the property and cost of acquisition are well within the area and cost already sanctioned

Resolution.—Deferred for local inspection by the Committee.

K. P. PUTTANNA CHETTY,
Chairman, City Improvement Committee, Bangalore.

CHINTAMANI TOWN MUNICIPALITY.

Notice is hereby given to the inhabitants of the Municipality of the Chintamani Town that the Municipal Council, Chintamani, desires to bring into force the following Bye-laws to enforce information relating to the liability of any person to tax on professions, trades and callings, and on offices and appointments.

Any inhabitants of this Municipality objecting to the proposed Bye-laws may within one month from the date of this notice, send his objections in writing to the President, Town Municipal Council, Chintamani.

Draft Bye-laws framed under section 46 (J) of the Mysore Municipal Regulation by the Chintamani Municipal Council, for enforcing the supply of information by the inhabitants to ascertain their respective liabilities to the tax on professions, trades and callings and on offices and appointments.

1. On a requisition of the Municipal Council, or of such person or persons as may be authorised by them from time to time in this behalf every person exercising any profession art, trade or calling or holding any office or appointment shall, within such reasonable period as shall be specified in the requisition be bound to furnish a statement under his signature, in writing, in the form appended to these rules showing his income or profits for the required year or years.

2. The Municipal Council or such person or persons authorised by them in this behalf may in like manner require.—

(1) the owner or occupier of any building or land within the Town Municipal limits to furnish within a specified time, a list, in writing, containing the names of all persons occupying such building or land and specifying the profession, art, trade or calling, office or appointment of every such person;

(2) any employer, or the head or Secretary of any public or private office or of a firm or company.—

(*a*) to furnish within a specified time a list in writing of the names of all persons in his employ, or in the employ of such office, firm or company, with a statement of the salary or income of each of the same,

(*b*) to furnish the above particulars in regard to any incorporated company of which such employer, head or Secretary, as the case may be, is the agent.

3. Notwithstanding anything in these rules, every person commencing to exercise any profession, art, trade, or calling or to hold any office or appointment in the Town Municipal limits, who has not been required to furnish a return under Rule 1 shall within thirty days of so commencing give information of the fact to the President, Town Municipal Council

4. Every person liable to the tax, who shall change either designation of his firm or the nature of his profession, art, trade, or calling, or office, or appointment, or his place of